

The Money We See — and the Money We Don't

Fort Myers, Florida Oct 9, 2026 (Issuewire.com) - HEALTHCARE IN THE UNITED STATES

ARTICLE 5

The Money We See — and the Money We Don't

Suppose a hospital lists a \$10,000 charge, but an insurer-provider arrangement results in only \$4,000 being treated as the allowed amount. The insurer pays \$3,200 and the patient pays \$800. What happened to the other \$6,000?

Accounting Gives the Difference a Name

Healthcare financial statements may reflect the difference between established charges and expected contractual payments through contractual adjustments and revenue-recognition rules. Financial accounting is trying to report what the provider expects to receive.

But an accounting label does not automatically decide a legal or tax question.

Was There Ever a Debt?

Canceled-debt taxation begins with a basic question: did a legally enforceable debt arise and was it later discharged? If the provider was already obligated before treatment to accept \$4,000 under the governing arrangement, the unused \$6,000 may not be a debt that was later canceled.

If a legally enforceable obligation first arose and was subsequently reduced or satisfied through another arrangement, different legal and tax questions can follow. Contracts, timing, parties and governing law matter.

The Whistleblower Theory

The author has asked the IRS to examine whether, in certain transactions, a patient obligation can arise first and an insurer-provider arrangement can then reduce it in a manner that may have cancellation-of-debt, information-reporting or other federal tax consequences.

The theory also asks whether some economic benefits associated with patient steering or insurer-provider arrangements could, when statutory elements are satisfied, implicate laws governing kickbacks or deductions.

These are allegations and legal theories, not findings that every contractual adjustment is canceled debt or every negotiated arrangement an illegal kickback.

Follow What Actually Happened

The public should be able to follow a medical dollar from the stated charge to the negotiated amount, insurer payment, patient responsibility and revenue ultimately reported.

The right question is not only “What did accounting call it?” The stronger question is “What actually happened in the transaction, and what law applies?”

NEXT IN THE SERIES: Tax Exempt Does Not Mean Law Exempt.

Editor's note: This series distinguishes documented facts and established law from allegations, disputed interpretations and the author's proposed legal, tax and economic theories.

Media Contact

Saving the World

*****@comcast.net

954-790-9407

14893 American Eagle Ct.

Source : Roy J. Meidinger

[See on IssueWire](#)