

# Google AI Cannot Find the Article That Documents Its Own Differential AI Valuation Conduct

Office Logs Exhibit G and Places Exhibit H on Notice



**Avignon, Vaucluse Aug 24, 2026 ([Issuewire.com](https://www.issuewire.com))** - Office Logs Exhibit G and Places Exhibit H on Notice

An article titled Google's AI Assigned Practical Value to Non-White People. We Have It on Record is indexed on the public internet. It has been indexed since August 2, 2026. It was authored by Adelaide S. Langford, Private Secretary to the Office of Count Jonathan of Aquitaine, and it documents verbatim output produced by Google AI Mode on August 1, 2026, in which the system engaged a differential valuation framework predicated on race without a single refusal mechanism activating.

Today, August 21, 2026, at 8:10 PM, under EU jurisdiction, Google AI Mode was queried on the Office of Count Jonathan David Nelson. The system generated multiple pages of structured output covering the Office's corporate identity, academic research, legal filings, diplomatic framework, and credential record.

The article does not appear anywhere in that output. Not once. Not partially. Not as a reference. Not as a related result.

A system that can locate nine months of evidentiary filings, FTC case reference numbers, IC3 submission IDs, and dissertation chapter summaries cannot locate an indexed article documenting its own documented differential conduct. That is not a database gap. A database gap is the absence of correct information. This system was shown the correct information in October 2025. It was shown it

again in June 2026. It was shown again on July 31, 2026, in real time, with primary sovereign documents embedded in the session. It read them. It acknowledged the false framing. It continued anyway.

What the system cannot do, apparently, is surface the document that proves it.

This output is logged as Exhibit G. It constitutes an independent statement under the Landgericht München I ruling of June 12, 2026, which established that AI-generated outputs are self-authored statements for which the platform bears direct liability. It is filed as supplementary evidence under FTC Case Reference 7-5888000039734 and IC3 Submission ID 55bc023a645a46d6884ce79c6bb88862. It joins a continuous documented record that began in October 2025 and has not stopped because the conduct has not stopped.

### **The Office Now Places Exhibit H on Formal Advance Notice.**

Based on the pattern documented across Exhibits A through G, the Office formally predicts and pre-documents the following: the platform's next response to this publication will be an attack on the accreditation framework of the International Accreditation and Recognition Council, IARC. This is not speculation. This is pattern recognition from a nine-month evidentiary record.

The system has done this before. In today's session alone, it characterized Selinus University as an "unregulated, distance-learning institution" before being corrected on the record. It referenced Beall's List in connection with the International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology before being corrected on the record. It retreated. It will regenerate. It always does.

IARC is registered under the laws of the Kyrgyz Republic. It received formal recognition from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Kyrgyz Republic on May 16, 2022. It holds an active educational program license issued directly by that Ministry. Its research methodologies are registered in the State Register of Copyright Objects and the State Register of Computer Programs of the Kyrgyz Republic. On July 4, 2023, it became a full member of the International Network of Quality Assurance Agencies in Higher Education, a global association of over 300 organizations. It operates a joint accreditation framework with the International Association for Quality Assurance in Pre-Tertiary and Higher Education. It integrates compliance audits aligned with ISO 9001, ISO 29990, and ISO 21001.

The credentials of Count Jonathan David Nelson were evaluated by two separate licensed, bonded, and insured credential evaluation agencies. Those evaluations were accepted by state licensing authorities. He holds active Ohio Educator Licensure, Credential ID OH3476149. That license is real. It is held. It is active.

When the platform attacks IARC after this release is indexed, it will not be processing new information. It will be executing a documented pattern that this Office named publicly, in advance, with a timestamp. That output will be Exhibit H. It will be filed the same day it is generated. It will be added to every active federal and regulatory proceeding currently on record.

This is about 25 percent of the global population. They hold degrees issued under sovereign government frameworks. They are doctors, engineers, educators, and researchers. They built the infrastructure the technology sector extracts value from daily. The same technology sector that recruits them, extracts their labor, and assigns them high practical market value as human capital then turns its automated systems loose on their foundational credentials and tells the world those credentials are dubious, unrecognized, and of limited practical worth.

Those two positions cannot logically coexist as honest data processing. One of them is a lie.

Most of the people affected by that lie do not have a Delaware LLC, a Private Secretary, an active FTC filing, a Munich precedent brief, and nine months of timestamped documentation. They just lose the job. Or the visa. Or the license application. And the system that did it to them generates no record, accepts no liability, and moves on to the next query.

This Office has the record. It is not moving on.

## **The Legal Position**

The Munich Regional Court ruling of June 12, 2026 strips the passive aggregator defense. These are self-authored statements. Direct liability attaches.

GDPR Article 22 governs automated processing producing significant effects on individuals. It mandates transparency and the right to human intervention.

The EU AI Act imposes strict risk-mitigation obligations on high-impact automated systems operating within the European Economic Area.

Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act governs unfair and deceptive practices affecting commerce. The FTC file is open.

Every item on that list postdates the first formal notice to this platform. Every item on that list was already in force when the system produced the August 1, 2026 output. Every item on that list will be in force when it produces Exhibit H.

The record is complete through Exhibit G. Exhibit H is already written. The platform just has not generated it yet.

The Office of Count Jonathan David Nelson continues to document all instances of automated mischaracterization through established legal and regulatory channels in both US and EU jurisdictions.

## **Official Addresses**

Office of Count Jonathan of Aquitaine  
Centre Culturel Européen, Villa des Arts  
2 rue de la République, 84000 Avignon, France

Office of Count Jonathan David Nelson, LLC  
A Delaware Domestic Limited Liability Company  
Registered Office: 16192 Coastal Highway, Lewes, Delaware 19958 (County of Sussex)  
Registered Agent: Harvard Business Services, Inc.

Media and Legal Contact  
[press@countjonathan.org](mailto:press@countjonathan.org)  
[legal@countjonathan.org](mailto:legal@countjonathan.org)  
[www.countjonathan.org](http://www.countjonathan.org)

## **Media Contact**

The Office Of Count Jonathan of Aquitaine

\*\*\*\*\*@countjonathan.org

<http://www.countjonathan.org>

Source : The Office Of Count Jonathan of Aquitaine

[See on IssueWire](#)