

JoCo Judge in KS High Court by Pro Se Alleging Negligence Equal to Accessory of Attempted Murder of Dads

Three Federal Dockets Alleged in mentions of District Judicial Misconduct Against Hon. Paul w Burmaster for Civil and Human Right Violations 2:23-CV02471, 2:23-CV02491, and 2:23-CV02595



Kansas City, Missouri Dec 3, 2023 ([Issuewire.com](https://www.issuewire.com)) - The pro se father who has stood up in the Kansas high court alleges county Judicial negligence in the family court of Judge Burmaster is a crime. The Kansas court system is facing a major reckoning as a result of a Gardner mothers' harmful years of

parental alienation campaign. This has led to a series of Johnson County judge and court officer misconduct cases, the loss of a county judge, and a staggering \$21.7 million worth of damages in a set of civil rights lawsuits on the judiciary down to the Gardner Police Dept. The fallout has now reached the federal level, with a father of two daughters, now opening the door for the Department of Justice to step in and handle the situation in now two Section 1983 ongoing suits. Both the judge's suit and the mother/attorney/guardian's suit now beckon the DOJ by the motion of intervention of the father under Title IX Civil Rights Act of 1964. The father places accusations of District Judge Paul Burmaster as being equivalent of accessory to attempted murder, of Kansas fathers, as well conspiracy and extortion—this in doc #33 in 2:23-CV02471. The pro se father is beginning to show much merit in the magnitude of claims that have been brought against the district court and the local PD. The parties all have been summoned and 3 of 4 of the defense parties, Judge Burmaster, the mother Ms Escalante, and her Attorney Christopher T Wilson in federal hearings under conspiracy to interfere with civil rights of minor children's rights and the dad's, obstruction of justice, manipulation and coercion and a tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress and abuse of the Domestic Violence process. Bear in mind underneath these allegations, two minor children were removed from their father over 16 months ago by these individuals 'claims' and those kids never saw him again. The kids father is the pro se bring the federal complaints. The fact of this matter that is showing, the Judge had an opportunity to give a legal response to the high court, and he didn't have one. He had to ask for an extension as he had to hire counsel to represent him in the pro se case against him. And the mother and her civil attorney also gave a legal response days ago. They gave no response to the allegations, but rather a deflection of 'page number excess' and they asked to be dismissed from the case. But the pro se has docketed several entries in the last 48 hours, and it looks like no one is going anywhere in that case as the Department of Justice has been motioned to intervene in those dismissals. They are also in the judge's suit already.

These allegations placed on the KS mother and her civil attorney above are that the civil rights of her children are being intentionally violated through the use of her attorney at her dollar and expense with intent. The father of the children is declaring in the courts, 5 years of rights deprivations of the children's 14th amendment and his also, alongside his protected liberty from unconscionable threats. And above is their first chance to declare any type of innocence. They asked to leave in dismissal because of 2 extra pages in the father's complaint. Deficiency of the defense of Mother and attorneys looks as weak as the judge's defense. He hasn't been able to provide one yet. The judge's second deadline is in 4 days per the clerk's extension docket annotation in the Burmaster suit.

The father's rebuttal of entry came immediately after those docket response from the Defense, in a motion for the DOJ to intervene.

The Pro Se is not going to let them leave at the Dept of Justice's request.

The issue of parental alienation has long been a contentious one, with toxic parents who are unable to see the harm they cause in selfishness using various tactics to turn their children against the other parent. In Kansas, this has resulted in a trail of destruction within the court system, with judges being pulled from the bench under accusations of ethics commissions of bias and misconduct. The most recent case involved the county judge removed from the bench days ago due to allegations of favoring one side parent endeavor in custody battles against Kansas' judicial laws.

These 2 lawsuits along with the GPD lawsuit allege a hierarchy of misconduct affecting civil rights that the court system has failed at the top to protect children's right and fathers' rights and has allowed Kansas mother Janelle Leigh Escalante to use devastating parental alienation tactics without consequence for years. This is where the above-shown case has led to 2:23-CV02491 Escalante, Wilson, Bell Lloyd being filed, it's being declared of Protective Order procedural abuse and now the

case beckoning with the father's motions to the Justice Department to become involved in addressing the issue of alienation by Domestic Violence procedural and process abuse.

The involvement of the Department of Justice is a significant development in this ongoing issue. It signals that the federal government recognizes the potential severity of this situation possibly being on a large scale in other cases and is taking action to address it. This could have far-reaching implications for the entire Kansas court system and could potentially lead to positive changes in how parental alienation cases and domestic violence cases are handled. The advancements by the self-representing father have even caught the notice of Washington D.C. as Pro Publica news agency called the national judicial system out on Friday, December 3, stating in an editorial, "a father should never have to stand away from his children simply to offer them protections from other parental toxic behavior when there is a system in place of state courts that should be doing automatically."

The impact of this situation may be very far-reaching, the DOJ became an interested party in Escalante Vs Judge Burmaster in early November when father Matthew Escalante entered the Kansas high court and shut Burmaster down with a Section 1983 filing alleging large gross acts of judicial misconduct. Since that time, Judge has removed from many men's proceedings in Joco dockets. The father demanded significant changes to his kids cases that were being handled in the joco court. The Department of Justice's interest in entry and involvement is a crucial step towards addressing this issue of judges not doing their job and harming children, from claims that are coming out of hatred from spiteful parents. Nothing can supersede the best interest and a system must be solid in ensuring that both parents' and child's rights to due process under amendment 14.S1.5.8.1 are protected in custody battles, by judges and parents who should know better. Escalante Vs Burmaster is still litigating and has the potential still put the judge under federal charges of conspiracy and even a prison sentence alongside the KS mother. The Div 14 Johnson County Kansas judiciary family court is failing the children of joco, and one father shows he would not tolerate it. Much more to see in the coming month in the Burmaster conspiracy story in the 10th District.

Pro Se Allegations Attempted Murder Case Child Custody Disputes Legal System Criticism Federal Court Filings

This is original content from NewsBreak's Creator Program.

Riley Stevenson Stevenson, Riley - Bachelor's degree in journalism and a master's degree in theater from Kansas University. He has taught a wide ran... NewsBreak Contributor

Case 2:25-cv-02491-JAB-YJJ Document 12 Filed 12/01/25 Page 1 of 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS

MATTHEW AARON ESCALANTE,
Individually and on behalf of
S.J.E., a Minor Child and
S.G.E., a Minor Child,
Plaintiff,
vs.
JANELLE LEECH ESCALANTE, Mother;
CHRISTOPHER T. WILSON, Attorney; and
LEWANA BELL-LLOYD, Guardian ad Litem
For S.J.E., a Minor Child and S.G.E., a Minor Child,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-CV-02491

**DEFENDANT JANELLE ESCALANTE'S
MOTION TO DISMISS PAGE LIMITED**

Defendant Janelle Escalante moves this Court under Local Rule 7.16(a)(4) for an Order granting her leave to exceed the 15-page limit applicable to her forthcoming Motion to Dismiss under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(3) and 12(b)(6). Defendant requests that the 45-page limit for summary judgment motions under Local Rule 7.16(b)(2) be applied to her forthcoming Motion to Dismiss, rather than the 15-page limit applicable to all other motions in civil cases under Local Rule 7.16(b)(3).

In support of her motion, Defendant states as follows:

1. The filing deadline for Defendant's forthcoming Motion to Dismiss is Monday, December 4, 2025 because she was served on Monday, November 11, 2025.

2. Defendant's forthcoming Motion to Dismiss will seek dismissal under both Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(3) and 12(b)(6), and addresses multiple issues under each Rule. As such, its brevity to more analogous in length to a Motion for Summary Judgment than to a

Case 2:25-cv-02491-JAB-YJJ Document 12 Filed 12/01/25 Page 2 of 4

Case 2:23-cv-02471-3AB-TJ Document 15 Filed 12/02/23 Page 1 of 8

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

MATTHEW ESCALANTE et al S.J.E. and S.G.E. minors Plaintiffs	2:23-CV02491
vs	
DANIELLE ESCALANTE CHRISTOPHER T WILSON LEWANNA BELL LLOYD Defendants	JURY TRIAL DEMAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
Interested Party

**PLAINTIFF FATHER AND CHILDREN'S EMERGENCY EX PARTE
MOTION TO LEAVE FOR FILE: COMPLAINT UNDER
TITLE IX OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 (42 U.S.C. n 2000h-2)
AND MOTION/NOTICE TO INTERVENE DOJ AS PLAINTIFF 8-2.140**

COMES NOW, the Plaintiff's, MATTHEW ESCALANTE as his own counsel, and
on behalf of Plaintiff, S.J.E, a minor child, and S.G.E, a minor child hereby
respectfully give the demand for an opportunity to protect their civil rights
in a Leave for File: 42 U.S.C.n 2000h-2 Title IX of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
This MOTION TO INTERVENE, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
as Plaintiff in this action, on the cause that Escalante v Escalante, Wilson
Bell Lloyd is an action that has been commenced in this District of Kansas
Court and seeks relief for 3 plaintiffs being denied equal protection of the
laws under the 14th Amendment to the Constitution. The support of this
matching criteria to Title IX 2000h-2 is on the factual showing that the sex
of the plaintiff father is being discriminated against on several specific dates
and the most severe of deprivations shows affirmative in Domestic Violence
petition Doc #1 22CV03391, a Violation of the Violence against Women's Act
has been encroached the by defendants in bias and prejudice of Father. The
exact same time period, Defendant Christopher T Wilson is seen deceptively
'double docketing' a Petitioner Temp/Perm Modification of Custody Motion Doc.
192. The Case record of 18CV03813 JOCO, shows Christopher T Wilson w/ intent
acting to deprive from the Plaintiffs on June 24th 2022 and June 27th 2022, of

Case 2:23-cv-02471-3AB-TJ Document 15 Filed 12/02/23 Page 2 of 8

their Constitutional Amendment right of 14.S1.5.8.1 Parents and Children
Rights and Due Process. As it can be seen in the case public records
there is counsel wilsons affirmative of docket deceptions in the case of
18CV03813. On June 24, 2022 Wilson docket and serves Doc 192, it is 17 pages
long. The hearing is to be held on 6/30/2022. But on 06/27/22, the Defendant

Escalante v. Burmaster

Kansas District Court

Judge: John W Broomes
Referred: Teresa J James
Case #: 2:23-cv-02471
Nature of Suit 440 Civil Rights - Other Civil Rights
Cause 42:1983 Civil Rights Act
Case Filed: Oct 19, 2023

Docket Parties (3)

Docket last updated: 12/01/2023 11:59 PM CST

Friday, December 01, 2023

32  [Exhibits](#) [Fri 12/01 2:45 PM](#)
EXHIBIT(S) IN SUPPORT of 1 Complaint by Plaintiff Matthew Aaron Escalante Plaintiff's Declaration and Affirmative of District Judicial Misconduct of Defendant Acting Against the Plaintiff with Intent to Harm Father and His Children Pursuant 28 U.S. Code § 1746. (Escalante, Matthew)

Tuesday, November 28, 2023

31  [Motion](#) [Web](#) [Tue 11/28 2:35 PM](#)
MOTION for Writ of Children's Habeas Corpus and Motion to Joinder et al Plaintiff children and a defendant for Writ only - Habeas shows Clear Absence of All Jurisdiction by Plaintiff Matthew Aaron Escalante [Related](#) [+](#) (Escalante, Matthew)

30  [Statement](#) [Tue 11/28 2:58 AM](#)
STATEMENT Memorandum in Support of Operative Complaint #1 Injunction and Plaintiff Respectful Request for this Court to Consider DHS Protocol of REVOCATION OF VAWA SELF- PETITION GIVEN ON JULY 08 2022 - REVOCATION DUE TO PROCEDURAL ABUSE OF KANSAS PROTECTION PORTAL PROCESS BY DEFENDANTS IN DOC #1 JOCO 22CV03391 by Plaintiff Matthew Aaron Escalante. (Escalante, Matthew)

Monday, November 27, 2023

29  [Response](#) [Response to Motion](#) [Mon 11/27 1:46 PM](#)
RESPONSE by Interested Part United States of America re26

Case 2:23-cv-02471-3AB-TJ Document 31 Filed 12/02/23 Page 1 of 2

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS**

MATTHEW ESCALANTE Plaintiff Father	Case No. 2:23-CV02491
PAUL WILLIAM BURMASTER Judge of 10th District Family Court Div 14 Interested Party	JURY TRIAL DEMAND

PLAINTIFF FATHERS STATEMENT UNDER 28 U.S.C § 1746

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on 3rd of December, 2023.

The actions of the Defendant Burmaster in the Johnson County Dist. Ct. Cases of 18-CV03813, 22-CV03191, 22CV036300, 22CV031371 are proceedings that did/do include the Plaintiff, who is father to two alienated children, and by the hands and actions of the Defendant, & the Defendants in 2:23-CV02491 & 2:23-CV025195 are negligent and abusive, affecting the Rights of Kansas Children.

The actions in the district court by this defendant of this action, the court is not fully aware of. Once again, parties approach an legal deadline of Answer to Complaint that is copying by the Clerk of US District of Kansas, as Dec 8th 2023. This man, Judge Paul William Burmaster, as last retaliatory action against the Plaintiff from the Bench of Division 14 court removed permanent custody share from Father Matthew Escalante, and merely as action to further wrongfully punish the Father because Judge got caught acting against judicial law of Rule 601, as well as other state and federal laws. That's not ok. That's not ok for a judge of the district court to do to children. Father is not going to see his children for Christmas, it is going to take months for Plaintiff to clean up Judge Burmasters negligent mess just to pick back up custody that Judge stole from the Plaintiff, while acting in 'Clear Absence of ALL Jurisdiction' on the dates 07/08/22, 07/28/22, 08/30/22, to name a few. Such actions of wrongfully removing children from their father who loves and adores them should be considered by the Court to be of the extent of Burmaster being Accessory to Attempted Murder of a Father. Judge Burmaster is in admissible evidence also of forms of extortion, much similar to racketeering involving the Layne Project LLC. The emails dated July and Aug 2023 from director Trina Neelson, show this young lady accepted cash from an attorney that they refuse to disclose, and that money was put on the Plaintiffs account at Layne. Except no one informed the plaintiff. Instead, Layne Project went as accused and informed the Div 14 court Judge, the Defendant, that he had cash in his account in the hundreds, to set the father up for failure to look like a negligent worthless father who didn't love his kids. That father, the Plaintiff of this Action, demands from Paul William Burmaster a response to these allegations placed in this filing and the Documents of Complaints Doc #1, Doc #6, Doc #8, Doc #16, that each joinder into one. Burmaster has been removed from multiple men's proceedings in the Dist. Ct. Div. Ct. as of 12/3/23. Burmaster is going to destroy the Plaintiff's once shared custody picture and leave and then give no legal response. Who does this man think he is, to do that to my children? Defendant Burmaster owes a Response And Chief Judge of the District Court needs to disclose where custody jurisdiction now lies, as it was transferred and no disclosure by the Dist. Ct. has been made to where. These men actions are corroding the public trust of the judiciary, that it has worked hard for in history. Atrocious, intolerable. Respectfully sent.

Matthew Escalante

Case 2:23-cv-02471-3AB-TJ Document 31 Filed 12/02/23 Page 2 of 2

Media Contact

Riverside Missouri Media

riversidemediamongols@gmail.com

Source : Riverside Missouri Media

[See on IssueWire](#)