

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF KANSAS		
MATTHEW AARON ESCALANTE,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Case No. 23-2471-JWB-TJJ
v.	)	
	)	
PAUL WILLIAM BURMASTER,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
 <u>CLERK'S ORDER FOR 14 DAY EXTENSION OF TIME TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE PLEAD</u> 		
On this 22nd day of November, 2023 Defendant Paul W. Burmaster, ("Defendant") pursuant to D. Kan. Rule 77.2(a)(2) makes oral application for additional time within which to answer or otherwise plead to Plaintiff's Complaint (ECF No. 1) and/or Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 6), Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 8) and/or Plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint (ECF No. 15)¹. The responsive pleading to the Complaint(s) (ECF Nos. 1, 6, 8 & 15) on behalf of Defendant presently is believed to be due on or about November 24, 2023. The responsive pleading deadline for Defendant has not expired as of the date of filing of this motion. No previous extensions have been requested. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Paul W. Burmaster, be given fourteen (14) additional days from this date to plead or to and including December 8, 2023. Clerk of the United States District Court for Kansas By <u>/s/ Jeffrey S. Hokanson, Deputy Clerk</u>		

Olathe, Kansas Nov 23, 2023 ([IssueWire.com](https://www.issuewire.com)) - /EPR Network/A Kansas pro se father who has placed a 10th Judicial District family court judge as a Defendant in a KS federal civil rights lawsuit is furious this Thanksgiving and letting state leaders know of the Conspiracy to interfere with evidence uncovered in the Johnson County judiciary. Honorable Paul Will Burmaster was served a federal summons by Kansas self representing father, Matthew Aaron Escalante, in the federal proceeding of Escalante Vs Burmaster 2:23-CV02471

https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/51017106/Escalante_v_Burmaster

The summons executed on November 2nd, 2023. The division 14 judge of the family court finally gave a legal response one day short of the deadline in the case entered under Section 1983 that alleges conspiracy to interfere with civil rights from the bench against his children civil rights, next to his. The civil judge offered no defense for claims against him for conspiracy, constitutional deprivations, child civil rights violation, procedural abuse of protective orders, and federal code and state law infraction allegations. Rather the judge entered a 14 day request for an extension of time as Burmaster shows as now having to hire a defense counsel. The claims validity in the case of Escalante vs. Burmaster begins to show strong weight on the fathers allegations of the judge intentionally infringing upon civil rights of dad and daughters in the district court proceedings in which Burmaster has held jurisdiction over Mr. Escalante's two minor daughters. The father speaking out publicly against the recent court filing for extension to respond, calling it a 'meritless' delay that does not change the evidence against Burmaster. The father, who represents himself as attorney in county and federal court, is also urging the 10th Judicial District to properly fix the judge's county mistakes alleged to have been made.

The father, aiming to protect his daughter's right to have a father in their life, has been involved in a custody battle with his ex-wife for the past five years in Johnson Co. court. Despite presenting the half decade of evidence and testimony of domestic abuse he has experienced in parental alienating acts, he states the civil judge in the county proceedings acted like it didn't exist. The father, who has been fighting for equal shared custody, said he was shocked and disgusted by Burmaster's county actions of ignoring police reports given by the local precinct of custody interferences that had been documented against the mother.

The father's case has gained attention from national media recently when the Department of Justice entered as Interested Party in Escalante v Burmaster, two weeks ago.

Mr. Escalante also showing the 10th District's improper handling of this entire matter in his once Johnson County docket of 18CV03813. Judge Burmaster shows pulled from his custody case and the entire county proceeding has been moved to Out-of-County by Chief Judge order, given by Charles Droege, Burmaster's superior. However, the county annotations state the following seen below:

11/16/2023 <Bench Notes>

BY ORDER OF THE CHIEF JUDGE THIS CASE IS TRANSFERRED TO AN OUT OF COUNTY JUDGE FOR THE PURPOSE OF DOCKET AND CASELOAD MANAGEMENT(JUDGE: DROEGE)

The father's response to the Chief judge's notation has come in a Notice of Intent to Sue the 10th Judicial District for discrimination affecting civil rights of father and minors, as disturbingly, the judiciary hasn't even told the father where the case was transferred to. Further cause for civil suit lies in the fact that Burmaster had placed a courthouse wide "no contact order" onto the father on March 20, 2023. The questionable county order is still in place, leaving the father unable to initiate contact with that courthouse has his own attorney. The no contact order, titled Doc 262, is in the federal lawsuit

complaint filings subject to collateral attack for alleged 14th amendment violations by Burmaster with the crafting of that order. The doc 262 is presented in the federal court case doc #7 as a bad faith attempt Burmaster made without Jurisdiction to immediately silence the father in March. The father displays he began to disclose Burmasters actions to the Kansas Commission of Judicial Conduct and a multitude of ethics probes were purportedly investigating Burmaster. The doc 262 has spurred a pending dual filing of discrimination issued by the EEOC/KHRC on April 5, 2023. The underlying fact that remains of that time period, is Mr Escalante unbeknownst to Burmaster, had recently interviewed and was being considered for hire by the Joco court recruiting team. Escalante had open job applications on file that were protected from discriminatory pre-prejudice that could potential affect fair consideration of hire under Federal law. The 10th District has yet to respond to the father's allegations or the EEOC's filing. This is a huge debacle showing occurring in the Kansas courts. And local major media appearing to refusing to cover it.

Media Contact

KCLV Kansas News

KCLVKansasNews@gmail.com

PO Box 3455

Source : <https://express-press-release.net/news/?p=1491008&preview=true>

[See on IssueWire](#)